

Chemical Geology 238 (2007) 168-179



www.elsevier.com/locate/chemgeo

# Spatial patterns of bacterial signature biomarkers in marine sediments of the Gulf of Mexico

Yi-Liang Li<sup>a,b</sup>, Aaron D. Peacock<sup>b</sup>, David C. White<sup>b</sup>, Roland Geyer<sup>b,c</sup>, Chuanlun L. Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, University of Georgia, Aiken, SC 29802, USA
 <sup>b</sup> Center for Biomarker Analysis, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37932, USA
 <sup>c</sup> Department of Environmental Microbiology, UFZ Leipzig-Halle, Permoser Street 15, D-04318 Leipzig, Germany

Received 5 June 2006; received in revised form 9 November 2006; accepted 25 November 2006

Editor: D. Rickard

#### Abstract

Sediment cores near a cold methane seep and gas hydrates in the Gulf of Mexico were analyzed for bacterial membrane phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) and isoprenoid quinones. Nearby non-seep marine sediments were also analyzed for the purpose of comparison. Our goal was to use these biomarkers as proxies for microbial community structure and redox indicators. Total PLFA varied from core to core and were significantly less abundant in non-seep marine sediments than those near the cold seep or gas hydrates. In general, saturated straight chain, terminal branched and mid-chain branched, and monounsaturated fatty acids contributed >98% of the total PLFA. The branched fatty acids (i.e., aC15:0, iC15:0, Me10C16:0) could be largely attributed to sulfate-reducing bacteria and the monounsaturated fatty acid (i.e.,  $16:1\omega7c$  and  $18:1\omega7c$ ) could be attributed to sulfide-oxidizing bacteria (*Beggiatoa/Thioploca*). Principal component analysis of PLFA data revealed that microbial communities were consistent with the spatial distributions of gas hydrates and *Beggiatoa* mats and with the variation in geochemical conditions. Isoprenoid quinone profiles indicated that aerobic respiration was the dominant metabolic process in the top-most sediment layers while the anaerobic respiration dominated the deeper intervals in the marine sediments. Significant amount of cyclopropyl fatty acids at all sites indicated the stress adaptation of the bacteria in the extreme environment. The consistency between the distribution of PLFA and respiratory quinones and the variation in geochemical conditions suggested that analyses of PLFA and quinone could help us to locate the existence of the coupled sulfide-oxidization and sulfate-reduction processes. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Gulf of Mexico; Gas hydrate; Phospholipid fatty acids; Respiratory quinones; Biomarker; Trans/cis ratio; Multivariate statistics

### 1. Introduction

Gas hydrate is an ice-like crystalline structure, in which hydrocarbon and non-hydrocarbon gases are held within rigid cages of water molecules (Jeffrey and McMullan, 1967). Gas hydrate occurs worldwide, onshore, in polar regions and offshore at water depths under proper pressure-temperature conditions (Milkov and Sassen, 2002). The hydrate-bounded methane is a huge sink of greenhouse gas and the stability of methane hydrates plays a potentially significant role in globe warming, stability of ocean bottom sedimentary layer and carbon cycling in the marine environments (e.g., Kvenvolden, 1988a,b; Jiang et al., 2006). A cold seep is an area of the ocean floor where

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 803 725 5299; fax: +1 803 725 3309.

E-mail address: Zhang@SREL.edu (C.L. Zhang).

seepage of methane and other hydrocarbon-rich fluids occurs; however, a cold seep is not necessary accompanied by gas hydrate. The anaerobic oxidization of methane (AOM) at both gas hydrate (e.g., Boetius et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2002, 2005) and seep (e.g., Schouten et al., 2001) sites by methanotrophy-sulfate reduction-based communities has been demonstrated to be a significant mechanism in converting methane to  $CO_2$  (e.g., Boetius et al., 2000). However the microbial ecological structure, the spatial distribution of the density of biomass, and the connection of microorganisms to the local geochemical cycling have not been well-delineated.

Phospholipids are found in all bacterial cellular membranes, have a rapid turnover in living cells and are rapidly hydrolyzed upon cell death. They are found in reasonably constant amount in bacterial cells (White et al., 1979). Analysis of cellular membrane phospholipids fatty acids (PLFA) represents a quantitative and sensitive method for determining the interactions between members of consortia in terms of biomass, community structure, nutritional status, and metabolic activities (Ringelberg et al., 1997). The measurement of microbial PLFA has been proved to be valid in estimating biomass (Ringelberg et al., 1997) and assessing changes in community composition (Taylor and Parkes, 1983; Guckert et al., 1985, 1991; Vainshtein et al., 1992; Guezennec and Fiala-Medioni, 1996; Elvert et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2005), nutritional status (Guckert et al., 1986; Kieft et al., 1994), metabolic activity (e.g., Kaneda, 1991), and environmental stress (DeLong and Yayanos, 1985; Guckert et al., 1986; Kamimura et al., 1993; Yano et al., 1998; Fang et al., 2004).

PLFA can serve as fingerprints of special microbial groups and can be used as a taxonomy signature for bacteria classification (e.g., Taylor and Parkes, 1983; Kaneda, 1991; Vainshtein et al., 1992; Koga et al., 1993). They also carry information of the community structure (e.g., Volkman et al., 1980; Guezennec and Fiala-Medioni, 1996; Zhang et al., 2002). Fatty acids are valuable phenotypic properties for characterizing pure cultures (e.g., Guckert et al., 1991; Vainshtein et al., 1992). However, they often represent multi-sources in the environmental samples.



Fig. 1. Geographic locations of cores collected from gas hydrates (GC232, GC234), cold seep (GC233), and non-seep marine sediment (NBP).

Statistical methods can be used to understand the ecological structure using lipid profiles. For example, hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and principal component analysis (PCA) have been used in classification of the PLFA profiles of a deciduous forest biome (White et al., 1996). HCA grouped different levels of the forest biome by their similarities in profiles, and PCA identified different fatty acid groups, which represented Grampositive, Gram-negative, and sulfate-reducing bacteria (White et al., 1996). Statistical analyses of PLFA are also employed to reveal the correlations between the pollution factor and specific groups of organisms (e.g., Pennanen et al., 1996) and changes in community structure in space and time (e.g., Smoot and Findlay, 2001).

Previous studies have reported on the PLFA from gas hydrate-related microbial communities (Fang et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2002; Elvert et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2005; Orcutt et al., 2005; Niemann et al., 2005). Those studies examined a limited number of samples, which may hinder the characterization of the PLFA profiles statistically. The molecular DNA techniques can reveal the exact species but are often not used to tell the abundance of biomass in a particular geochemical site. On the other hand, PLFA are often used to delineate the general distribution of microorganisms with different metabolic capabilities. In this study, we analyzed PLFA and isoprenoid quinone profiles from gas hydrate sites, cold seep and background sediment using a large number of samples from five spatially separated sediment cores.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study sites and sample collection

Sediment samples were collected from a variety of locations in the Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1) in 2002 using the submersible Johnson Sea-Link aboard the R/V Seward Johnson. These sites were located in the Green Canyon (GC) area (27°2' to 27°55'N and 91°33' to 91°40'W). Our sampling locations included two gas hydrate sites (GC232 and GC234) and a hydrocarbon cold seep site (GC233). Cores were collected during several dives at these locations. A box core (NBP 2B) was collected approximately 2.6 km north of a brine pool at GC233 during the 2002 cruise. It represented a control site without hydrocarbon or methane release. The water depth ranged from 530 m to 716 m at these locations (Table 1). Cores at GC234 (D4424C3 and D4426C4) contained unclassified red bacterial mats on the surface of sediments. Bacterial mats were not visually observed in cores from GC233 (D4425C1) and GC232 (D4428C2). Because cores were collected

away from the chemosynthetic communities, no invertebrate remains were observed in the samples.

# 2.2. Total lipid extraction, column chromatography and methylation

Core samples were cut into 2-cm intervals and lyophilized. About 10 g (dry weight) of each sample were weighed into a glass centrifuge bottle. A modified Bligh and Dyer (1959) single-phase solvent system (White et al., 1979) was used for lipid extraction. Total lipids were extracted overnight in 37.5-ml chloroform/methanol/potassium phosphate buffer (1:2:0.9 by volume at pH 7.4) and then separated into neutral-, glycol- and polar lipids by silicic acid column chromatography (Guckert et al., 1985). The polar lipid fraction (eluted by methanol) with ester-linked fatty acids were prepared for gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis by a mild alkaline transesterification (Guckert et al., 1985). The resulting fatty acid methyl esters were identified and quantified as described elsewhere (e.g., Ringelberg et al., 1997).

#### 2.3. Isoprenoid quinones

The neutral lipid fraction was analyzed for the content of major respiratory quinones by high performance liquid chromatography coupled to atmospheric pressure chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometry as described by Geyer et al. (2004). Ubiquinones (UQ-n),

Table 1

Push cores collected during Cruise 2002 in the Green Canyon (GC) Leasing Block of the Gulf of Mexico

Site	Dive	Core #	Location and description of core	Methane <sup>1</sup>	HC <sup>2</sup>	Water depth (m)
GC232	4428	2	Hydrate mound, no mat	+	++	620
GC233	4425	1	210 ft away from the brine $pool^3$ , no mat, on hard white surface	++	+	716
GC233	4425	2	Control site, 2.6 km north of the brine pool (NBP2B), no mat	_	_	716
GC234	4424	3	Hydrate mound, red/orange mat	+	++	585
GC234	4426	4	Hydrate mound red/orange mat	+	++	586

<sup>1</sup>Methane flux: "++", High; "+", relatively low; "-", unknown.
<sup>2</sup>Non-methane hydrocarbon (HC) flux: "++", High; "+", relatively low; "-", unknown.

<sup>3</sup>Sometimes referred to as cold methane seep.



Fig. 2. Total phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) in background marine sediment (NBP 2B, diamond), cold methane seep (GC233), and gas hydrate (GC232, GC234) sites.

menaquinones (MK-n) and demethylmenaquinone (DMK) were quantified in the sediment core samples and reported as mol-percentage. UQ-ns are formed by *Proteobacteria* of the alpha, beta and gamma groups during growth with high-potential terminal electron acceptors like oxygen and nitrate, whereas MK-ns are common in anaerobically growing *Proteobacteria*, all Gram-positive bacteria as well as archaea (Collins and Jones, 1981; Hedrick and White, 1986; Hiraishi, 1999).

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 10.0) developed by SPSS Inc, Chicago. For HCA, each PLFA profile of absolute concentration was treated as a multivariate and calculation was performed without further standardization. Nearest neighbor was used for clustering by calculating the distance between variables. Clustering was made by calculating the cosine similarity of variables and reported in a dendrogram. For PCA, principal components were extracted by calculating the correlation matrix and the principal components with eigenvalues great than 1. Oblique promax with Kaiser Normalization was applied for the rotation of factor matrix to maximize the differences among factors extracted. PCA was also conducted by using PLFA as variables to extract dominant fatty acids. For comparison, the profiles of relative abundance (percentage) were also used for both PCA and HCA, which yield the same results as those calculations with the absolute concentration profiles (data not shown).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Microbial biomass represented by PLFA

About 90 different PLFA were identified in all samples but only those of greater than 1 mol% were listed in the Appendix. PLFA concentrations ranged from 2.6 pico-mole/g (pmol/g) at the 8–10 cm interval of NBP 2B to 407.0 pmol/g at the 0–2 cm interval of GC234D4426C4. Overall, PLFA in all intervals of the NBP 2B core were more than ten times lower than those in cold seep and gas hydrate-containing cores (Fig. 2).

Total PLFA and PLFA of the top and bottom intervals of the cores were summarized, together with the concentrations of sulfate and dissolved sulfide (Table 2). The top and bottom intervals represented the range of PLFA, which generally decreased with depth. Specially, PLFA decreased dramatically from 0–2 cm to 2–4 cm in core GC234D4426C4, increased slightly below 2–4 cm and decreased slightly to the bottom. The decreasing trends were less dramatic in other cores (Fig. 2).

Changes in PLFA appeared to correlate with changes in sulfate and  $H_2S$  (data not shown). Sulfate concentration in gas hydrate and cold seep sites decreased from

Table 2Sulfate, sulfide, and overview of PLFA in different cores

Sumae, sumae, una		in anterent cores			
Site	Sulfate <sup>1</sup> (mM)	Dissolved Sulfide <sup>1</sup> (µM)	Total PLFA (nmol/g)	PLFA of top interval <sup>2</sup> (nmol/g)	PLFA of bottom interval <sup>3</sup> (nmol/g)
GC232D4428C2	0-26	207-11051	316.9	122.4	17.2
GC234D4424C3	0-8	3083-10653	209.6	69.3	6.7
GC234D4426C4	0-24	<500-5632	789.7	407.0	8.1
GC233D4425C1	18-20	1440-2595	370.4	187.3	34.4
NBP 2B	21-27	4-8	21.9	7.5	2.6

<sup>1</sup>The concentrations of sulfate and dissolved sulfide were from Formolo (unpublished data).

 $^{2}0-2$  cm.

<sup>3</sup>14–16 cm depth for the gas-hydrate sites and 8–10 cm depth for the background and cold-seep sites.

the top interval to deeper intervals accompanied by an increase in dissolved sulfide. The control site NBP 2B showed the highest concentrations of sulfate, which was close to the average of seawater. NBP 2B also contained the lowest concentrations of dissolved sulfide (less than 8  $\mu$ M). These results implied that the enhanced biomass at hydrate or hydrocarbon seep sites was related to sulfate reduction.

#### 3.2. PLFA profiles in different cores

To assess differences in the microbial community structure among different sites, the PLFA were classified into saturated straight chain — (NSats), monounsaturated- (Monos), branched- (BrSat), cyclopropyl-(Cy) and polyunsaturated (PUFA)- fatty acids (Fig. 3), and were compared among different sites.



Fig. 3. Distribution of saturated, branched (sum of terminal and mid-chain branched), monounsaturated, polyunsaturated, and cyclopropyl phospholipid fatty acids in sediments from different sites in the Gulf of Mexico.

Core NBP 2B was dominated by NSats — (31.0– 47.5%), BrSat (33.2–39.7%) and Monos (15.2–25. 8%). The NSats increased consistently with depth while other groups decreased with depth (Fig. 3a–e); the BrSat (sum of mid-chain and terminal branched) first increased to 4–6 cm and then decreased with depth (Fig. 3b); the Cys consistently decreased with depth, which showed an opposite trend against those in the hydrate- or hydrocarbon seep cores (Fig. 3e).

Core GC233D4425C1 at the cold seep site had relatively low percentages of NSats (17.6–23.6%), which increased slightly from the 0–2 cm interval to the 8–10 cm interval. The BrSat increased from 19.7% at 0–2 cm to 40.8% at 8–10 cm, which appeared to be at the expense of Monos that decreased from 55.4% to 31.4% from top to bottom (Fig. 3b, c). The relative percentages of Monos were higher at the cold seep site than any other sites at all depths. The top interval of the cold seep also yielded the highest PUFA (6.2%), which, however, decreased to lower values (2.7–2.4%) below 2–4 cm than in other hydrate cores (Fig. 3d).

Cores at the gas hydrate sites (GC234D4424C3, GC234D4426C4, and GC232D428C2) showed similar percentages and trends from the 0-2 cm interval to the 14–16 cm interval (Fig. 3). Their NSats were consistently low (15.2–23.9%). Monos were high (46.5 to 53.3%) at 0-2 cm and decreased to 19.8 to 27.1% at deeper depth. Their BrSats, however, increased from 22.9 to 32.4% at 0-2 cm to the highest values at 8-10 cm (48.9 to 53.5%).



Fig. 4. Three-dimensional plot of the three principal components extracted by principal component analysis (PCA) of PLFA within individual samples. Empty square: all gas hydrate sites (GC232, Core 3 and 4 of GC234); solid square: cold seep site GC233; solid circle: background site NBP 2B.



Fig. 5. Three-dimensional plot of the summed branched-, monounsaturated- and saturated fatty acids. It gives almost the same patterns as plotted by three principal components extracted by PCA in Fig. 4. Empty square: all gas hydrate sites (GC232, core 3 and 4 of GC234); solid square: cold seep site GC233; solid circle: background site NBP 2B.

#### 3.3. Quinone profiles in different cores

Quinones were extremely low at NBP 2B. For example, UQ-ns were less than 4.0 pmol/g and MK-ns less than 11.8 pmol/g through the whole core. Quinones were significantly enhanced at the cold seep and hydrate sites, which had similar UQ-n contents but different MK-n contents. For example the UQ-ns were 51.7 pmol/g at GC233 and 55.0–57.3 pmol/g at GC232 and GC234. MK-ns were about 3–7 times lower at GC233 (66.6 pmol/g) than at GC234 (150.4–437.0 pmol/g) or GC232 (172.4 pmol/g). Among the UQ-ns detected at the cold seep or hydrate sites, UQ-8 was the most abundant and showed sharp decrease with depth; MK-4 and MK-6 were the most abundant of MK-ns and showed high concentrations around 4–6 cm (data not shown).

# 3.4. Hierarchical cluster analysis and principle component analysis

In using HCA and PCA, we treated every PLFA profile as an integrated data array in calculating the similarities of all intervals. HCA gave results consistent with that of PCA. The three largest factors in PCA accounted for 84.0%, 8.7% and 6.0% of the squared loading variances. They accounted for 98.7% of all variances and therefore well represented the whole array of PLFA profiles. The projection of the three largest



Fig. 6. Depth profiles of the ratio of respiratory quinones within monounsaturated and branched saturated fatty acids indicating the rapid shift from aerobic to anaerobic microbial respiration processes in the sediment cores. Insert: General structure of respiratory ubiquinone (UQ-n) and menaquinone (MK-n) species and their fragment ions monitored in mass spectrometry analysis.

principal components grouped the PLFA profiles into three distinct clusters (Fig. 4). All NBP 2B samples were grouped in one cluster (C1). The cold seep profile plus all 0-2 cm layers of gas hydrate sites were grouped into a second cluster (C2), and all other samples from gas hydrate cores (except top layers) were grouped in the third cluster (C3). We designated C1 as the backgroundmarine community, C2 as the cold-seep community, and C3 as the gas-hydrate community. Monos, BrSat and NSat fatty acids were plotted as three dimensions (Fig. 5), which showed high similarity to the PCA results and suggested that these types of fatty acids were predominant in each sample. The dendrogram exported from HCA yielded the same result as PCA. All the gas hydrate columns without top-most layers were hierarchically clustered in one group with at least 96% similarity; all the cold seep layers plus the top-most layers of sediment at the gas hydrates were clustered in one group with at least 96% similarity. These two clusters had a 94% similarity to each other and together had only a 74% similarity to NBP 2B. When single fatty acids were used as the variables for PCA, brC15:0, C16 $\omega$ 7c and C18:1 $\omega$ 7c were the three highly scored fatty acids in the first component; C12:0 and C16:0 and C18:1 $\omega$ 7c were the three highly scored fatty acids in the second component; and aC15:0, br15:0 and 10MeC16:0 were the highly scored fatty acids in the third components.

PCA of two component analysis of quinone profiles yielded results consistent with the results of PLFA (data not shown). The quinones with the highest contribution to the observed clustering were MK-6 and UQ-8, followed by MK-4.

The ratio of (UQ/MK)\* (Mono/BrSat) estimated the ratio of oxygen/nitrate respiration to anaerobic respiration with respect to *Beggiatoa/Thioploca* (Monos) and sulfate-reducing bacteria (BrSat)-dominated communities (Fig. 6). The top-most layers of the sediment indicated the dominance of aerobic respiratory type; the 2–4 cm and 4–6 cm layers of sediments, particularly in GC233D4425C1, indicated a transition between aerobic and anaerobic respiratory types; the deeper intervals (below 6 cm) at the gas hydrate and cold seep sites indicated the dominance of anaerobic respiration. The control site NBP 2B didn't show such a change in respiratory types due to its low content of PLFA and trace amount of quinones.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Lipid biomarkers and microbial consortia

PLFA are a reliable estimation of bacterial biomass and activity (White et al., 1979). The significantly low total PLFA of NBP 2B (Fig. 2) implied that microbial biomass in the non-seep marine sediments was considerably lower than the hydrate-seep sites. Changes in PLFA in each core (Figs. 2 and 3) and differences among clusters C1, C2, and C3 revealed by PCA (Fig. 4) and HCA (data not shown) indicated that the microbial biomass and the compositions of the PLFA profiles are sensitive signatures for microbial communities in different environments. The classification of all top intervals of hydrate sites into cluster C2 suggested that all of the hydrate sites might contain *Beggiatoa*/*Thioploca* mats, which have signature PLFA (Zhang et al., 2005).

The dominant fatty acids extracted by the PCA according to their scores are br-C15:0, C16:1 $\omega$ 7c, C18:1ω7c, C12:0, C16:0, aC15:0 and 10MeC16:0. However, not all the fatty acids in this list are signature fatty acids of three different microbial consortia because the plot of the three components extracted in this way failed to separate the Beggiatoa/Thioploca, SRB and the background groups of microbes. However, the three principal components extracted from the PLFA profiles indicated three major groups of fatty acids (Fig. 4), which accounted for more than 90% of total PLFA. Each of these three groups also behaved differently in each core (Fig. 3a, b and c). A three-dimensional projection by the sum of BrSat, NSat and Monos was drawn (Fig. 5), which was surprisingly similar to the result of PCA. Hence, the three components extracted in PCA may represent these major fatty acid groups. The relative abundances of these groups at different sites or different depths of the core may result from changes in microbial communities. NSats generally represent universal or non-specific lipids and the terminal branched fatty acids are generally the major cellular components in SRB in marine sediments (Parkes and Taylor, 1983; Findlay et al., 1990; Vainshtein et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2002). The bacterial lipids extracted from the AOM community were significantly contributed by the syntrophic partners of the AOM consortium (e.g., Orphan et al., 2001). In gas hydrate or cold seep settings, C16:1w7 and C18:1 $\omega$ 7 have widely been observed to be the most abundant fatty acids in Beggiatoa/Thioploca mats (McCaffrey et al., 1989; Jacq et al., 1989; Guezennec and Fiala-Medioni, 1996). Zhang et al. (2005) collected pure surface mat from the top of hydrate at GC234 site, which excluded the fatty acids from SRB demonstrated that C16:1w7 and C18:1w7 accounted for 83.0 mol% of all PLFA. These results suggest that  $16:1\omega7$  and  $18:1\omega7$ can be used as signature biomarkers for sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in H<sub>2</sub>S-rich marine sediment.

Significantly high percentages of 10MeC16:0 in NBP 2B core (5.75–8.06%), seep core GC233 (2.76–4.88%), and gas hydrate cores (2.93–5.32%) support the

presence of SRB (Taylor and Parkes, 1983; Dowling et al., 1986; Vainshtein et al., 1992; Kuever et al., 2001) and specifically the family *Desulfobacteriaceae* (Matsui et al., 2004).

Mid-chain BrSats are also recognized as biomarkers for SRB in the marine environments (Taylor and Parkes, 1983; Vainshtein et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2002). Some strains of *Desulfovibrio* contain high *i*C15:0 or *a*C15:0 or both (Dowling et al., 1986; Vainshtein et al., 1992; Dzierzewicz et al., 1996; Feio et al., 1998). The relative abundance of *a*C15:0 increased only slightly below the surface layer (0–2 cm) at NBP 2B but increased significantly at the gas hydrate/seep sites, which may suggest enhanced abundance of *Desulfovibrio* in the hydrocarbon-disturbed environments. This is different from the Hydrate Ridge, which was characterized by high content of C16:1 $\omega$ 5c which is potentially from *Desulfosarcina/Desulfococcus* species (Elvert et al., 2003; Orcutt et al., 2005).

The trends and relative contents of fatty acids from top to bottom of the sediment cores reflected the variation in cell density and community structure (Figs. 2 and 3). The microbial communities could be classified into three categories with different compositions: (1) the background site NBP 2B was dominated by microorganisms containing mostly saturated fatty acids; (2) the cold seep and the top intervals of the gas hydrate sites were dominated by biomass from *Beggiatoa/Thioploca* with increasing contribution of SRB at depths; and (3) the deeper parts of the gas hydrate sites were dominated by SRB with significant contribution from *Beggiatoa/Thioploca*.

# 4.2. Geochemical environments revealed by PLFA and quinones

The low biomass in NBP 2B reflected the oligotrophic environment. This is partially supported by the enhanced production of cyclopropyl FAs (Cys) at shallow sediment intervals of NBP 2B (Fig. 3e). The ability to either synthesize trans-monoenoic acids or to modify the more volatile cis-monoenoic acids to their Cy-derivatives is a survival mechanism that helps cells to maintain a functional membrane during starvationinduced lipid synthesis (Guckert et al., 1986; Kieft et al., 1994). The decreasing Cys with depth is likely caused by the decrease in biomass with depth. At cold seep and hydrate sites, the low Cys in surface sediments may indicate relatively higher concentrations of nutrients, whereas the increase in Cys with depth may be that microorganisms at depth remained active due to the downward transportation of food sources.

Beggiatoa and/or Thioploca colonize a typical interface where sources of reduced sulfur and dissolved oxygen both exist (Jannasch et al., 1989). As a result, the dissolved sulfide produced by SRB at depth may favor the blooming of Beggiatoa/Thioploca at the top (Sassen et al., 1993; Larkin et al., 1994; Larkin and Henk, 1996); in return, the Beggiatoa/Thioploca community may provide carbon substrates for the SRB community below. In this way, the Beggiatoa/Thioploca mats provide a geochemical interface with oxic environment above it and highly reduced environment below it. The potentially low activity of SRB (indicated by low hydrogen sulfide) in the NBP 2B core could be due to the absence of Beggiatoa/Thioploca mat that would exhaust oxygen to maintain an anaerobic environment below it (Nelson and Jannasch, 1983; Sassen et al., 1993; Larkin and Henk, 1996). Consequently, the lack of growth substrates, such as organic carbon derived from a Beggiatoa/Thioploca community may have constrained the growth of SRB at NBP 2B.

The spatial variation in respiratory quinones (UQ-ns an MK-ns) supported the view that the oxic environments existed at the top of cores and the anaerobic condition at the depths in the hydrate and seep cores. This is also consistent with the spatial relations of the Beggiatoa/ Thioploca community and the SRB community. All gas hydrate sites were characterized by their high UQ-8 at the top-most layer and high MK-4 and MK-6 at depth where SRB flourish. Consistent with the lipids data, the lack of gas hydrate and consequently less SRB of the AOM community in GC233 were corroborated by its low content of MK-n quinone and no obvious trend of MK-4 and MK-6 through the core. Similarly, lacking of sulfideoxidizing bacteria at the surface sediment and low activity of SRB at the depth explained the extremely low content of guinones in NBP 2B. Cai et al. (unpublished data) measured an oxygen penetration depth (OPD) (e.g., Wenzhöfer et al., 2001) of 0.4-0.5 cm in a mat-covered core at GC234, which was shallower than the OPD of mat-free sediment and indicative of the consumption of oxygen by microbes at this depth. The profile of (UQ/MK)\*(Mono/ BrSat) therefore revealed that the Beggiatoa/Thioploca community can serve as a geochemical barrier between the oxic environment above it and the reduced environment below (Fig. 6). Overall, the spatial distribution of biomarkers and the microbial consortia reflected the chemical environment where the organisms lived.

### 4.3. The source of PUFA and environmental stress

PUFA are considered rare or absent in prokaryotes. In this study, the PUFA in all gas hydrate sites and the background site show no obvious trend from the top to the bottom; however, the abundance of PUFA in seep site and NBP 2B was significantly less than that in the gas hydrate sites. The PUFA of the top-most layer of cold seep GC233 was much higher than any other sites, which might indicate the presence of a nearby eukaryotic chemosynthetic community.

The ratio of trans to cis PLFA indicates environmental stress that might be caused by high pressure, low temperature and low nutrient conditions (e.g., Guckert et al., 1985, 1986; Kieft et al., 1994; Yano et al., 1998; Fang et al., 2004, 2006). Only trace amount of trans monounsaturated fatty acids can be detected in the tidal zone of marine sediments or shallow Beggiatoa/Thioploca mats and the trans/cis ratio is generally less than 0.02 (Volkman et al., 1980; Jacq et al., 1989). However, this ratio increases to 0.09-0.30 (for  $16:1\omega7$ ) at 530-660 m water depth in the Gulf of Mexico (Zhang et al., 2005 and this study), to around 0.30 at 780 m water depth at Hydrate Ridge (Elvert et al., 2003), and to about 0.50-0.73 (for C16:1 $\omega$ 5) at water depth of more than 4900 m (Guezennec and Fiala-Medioni, 1996). These observations suggest that hydrostatic pressure may be responsible for the increasing *trans/cis* in deep-sea microorganisms.

#### 5. Conclusions

Bacterial PLFA profiles allowed us to delineate the spatial distribution of microbial communities using PCA analysis, which clearly separated the non-seep marine sediment (NBP) from gas hydrate (GC234 and GC232) or hydrocarbon seep (GC233) sites. The fatty acid biomarkers also indicated that the top layers of sediments of seep and hydrate sites were dwelled by Beggiatoa-type communities whereas the deeper sediments were dominated by sulfate-reducing bacteria. The ratio of UO/MK indicated change from the oxygen/nitrate respiratory at the surface to anaerobic respiratory at depth, which is consistent with the function of the Beggiatoa mat serving as a redox interface between oxic and anoxic environments. High ratios of trans/cis fatty acid are consistent with enhanced turnover rate of cis-monoenoic fatty acids due to the environmental stress in the deep-sea sediments. The significant amount of PUFA indicated possible source of fatty acids from nearby chemosynthetic community. In summary, this study revealed the consistency between the spatial distribution of microbial membrane phospholipids fatty acids and respiratory quinines and the spatial distribution of different microbial consortia or metabolic processes. This consistency gives an integrated view that biomarkers can provide valuable information on microbial communities living in different chemical environments at cold seeps or gas hydrates.

# Acknowledgements

RG acknowledges the technical support by M. Gan and J. Cantu at the Center for Biomarker Analysis. Thanks are due to Roger Sassen, Timonthy Lyons, and the crew of the Johnson Sea-Link for help during the 2002 cruise in the Gulf of Mexico. Mike Formolo provided valuable chemical data on sulfate reduction. D. Karapatakis created drawing of Fig. 1. This research was supported by the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund (CLZ), the National Science Foundation Biocomplexity Program (CLZ), the U.S. Department of Energy Financial Assistance Award DE-FC09-96SR18546 to the University of Georgia Research Foundation (CLZ), and Grant DE-FG02-04ER63939, Department of Energy, Office of Science, to DCW. We are grateful for the editor and reviewers' patience and efforts in improving early versions of the manuscript.

# Appendix A

Simplified profiles of phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) in different sediment cores in the Gulf of Mexico.

PLFA	NBP	NBP 2B, Depth profile (cm)				
(mol%)	0-2	2-4	4-6	6-8	8-10	
C12:0	2.51	2.01	3.31	4.78	7.79	
iC14:0	1.17	1.34	1.44	1.19	1.27	
C14:0	7.95	7.61	8.45	10.43	12.89	
iC15:0	5.56	5.18	4.97	4.13	4.12	
aC15:0	6.47	8.00	9.06	8.21	8.28	
C15:0	1.44	1.56	1.67	1.56	1.77	
iC16:0	1.68	1.78	1.99	1.79	1.73	
aC16:0	2.55	2.17	1.72	1.44	1.09	
C16:1w7c	6.94	5.65	4.54	3.70	2.84	
C16:1a	3.11	2.46	1.83	1.35	1.05	
C16:0	14.89	14.63	15.37	17.17	17.82	
10MeC16:0	6.66	5.81	5.68	4.14	3.02	
iC17:0	1.03	0.96	0.97	0.77	0.67	
aC17:0	2.03	2.30	2.38	1.85	1.70	
cyC17:0 a	1.20	1.23	0.97	0.49	0.45	
cyC17:0 b	1.53	1.28	1.08	0.69	0.59	
C17:0	0.81	0.97	1.00	0.98	1.07	
C18:1w9c	3.99	4.49	4.00	5.44	5.22	
C18:1w7c	6.99	6.22	4.38	3.37	2.77	
C18:1	0.21	1.70	1.97	2.56	1.01	
C18:0	2.73	3.07	3.41	4.60	4.53	
cyC19:0	2.77	2.31	2.10	1.21	0.68	
PLFA	GC23	3D442	5C1 (E	Brine po	ol),	
(mol%)	Depth	profile	e (cm)			
	0-2	2-4	4–6	6-8	8-10	
C12:0	0.62	0.89	1.49	1.74	2.23	
iC14:0	0.96	1.29	1.80	1.97	2.14	
C14:1a	0.76	0.79	1.31	1.65	1.22	
C14:0	3.32	3.45	4.47	5.61	6.49	
<i>i</i> C15:0	3.54	3.74	4.22	4.29	4.98	

PLFA (mol%)         GC233D4425C1 (Brine pool), Depth profile (m)           aC15:0         5.66         9.20         11.93         13.01         14.14           aC15:0         0.75         0.82         1.03         1.56         2.40           bC15:0         0.75         0.82         1.03         1.55         2.40           bC16:0         0.32         0.54         0.92         1.55         2.40           bC16:10         1.12         1.04         0.94         1.15         1.68           C16:10         2.330         22.12         17.33         12.87         9.51           C16:10         1.05         5.38         4.18         4.00         4.46           C16:0         10.05         10.08         9.83         9.89         9.80           10MeC16:0         2.06         2.49         2.72         2.79         2.53           aC17:0         1.01         1.07         1.33         1.58         1.50           C18:1007c         1.27         1.11         0.75         0.61         0.60           C18:1007c         1.27         1.11         0.75         0.61         0.60           C18:1007c         1.27         1.11	Appendix A	(conti	nued)						
(mol%)         Depth profile (cm)           aC15:0         5.66         9.20         11.93         13.01         14.14           C15:0         0.75         0.82         10.3         1.55         2.40           brC15:0         0.32         0.54         0.92         1.55         2.40           brC16:0         0.66         0.87         1.16         1.55         1.79           aC16:0         1.12         1.04         0.94         1.15         1.68           C16:107c         23.30         22.12         1.73         12.87         9.51           C16:107c         2.30         2.49         2.72         2.79         2.53           C16:107c         1.01         1.07         1.33         1.58         1.50           C16:13         5.05         5.38         4.18         4.00         4.46           C16:0         1.059         1.08         9.89         9.80           10MeC16:0         2.06         2.49         2.72         2.79         2.53           C18:107c         1.07         1.31         1.59         1.60         1.82           C18:1067c         1.55         1.31         1.27         1.97         <	PLFA				GC2	33D442	25C1 (	Brine p	ool),
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(mol%)	)			Dept	h profil	le (cm)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					0.2	2.4	4 6	6 9	<u> </u>
aC15:0         5.66         9.20         11.93         13.01         14.14           C15:0         0.75         0.82         1.03         1.56         2.40           bC15:0         0.32         0.54         0.92         1.55         2.40           iC16:0         1.12         1.04         0.94         1.55         1.79           aC16:0         1.12         1.04         0.94         1.15         1.68           C16:107t         4.14         4.14         3.26         2.87         2.05           C16:10         0.059         10.08         9.83         9.89         9.80           C16:10         10.77         1.33         1.58         1.50           C18:303         0.25         0.81         1.03         1.18         1.17           C18:109c         1.53         1.31         1.59         1.69         1.38           C20:406         1.41         0.36         0.30         0.26         0.16           C21:402         2.77         0.71         1.03         0.87         0.91         0.96         1.30           C18:1007t         1.27         1.11         0.75         0.61         0.60         0.60					0-2	2-4	4-0	0-8	8-10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aC15:0				5.66	9.20	11.93	13.01	14.14
	C15:0				0.75	0.82	1.03	1.56	2.40
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	brC15:0				0.32	0.54	0.92	1.55	2.40
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	iC16:0				0.66	0.87	1.16	1.55	1.79
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aC16:0				1.12	1.04	0.94	1.15	1.68
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C16:1w7c				23.30	22.12	17.33	12.87	9.51
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C16:1w7t				4.14	4.14	3.26	2.87	2.05
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C16:1a				5.05	5.38	4.18	4.00	4.46
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	C16:0				10.59	10.08	9.83	9.89	9.80
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10MeC16:0				2.06	2.49	2.72	2.79	2.53
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aC17:0				1.01	1.07	1.33	1.58	1.50
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:3ω3				0.25	0.81	1.03	1.18	1.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:1ω9c				2.66	2.24	1.85	1.65	1.82
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:1w7c				15.43	14.20	12.77	10.97	8.31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:1w7t				1.27	1.11	0.75	0.61	0.60
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:0				1.55	1.31	1.59	1.69	1.38
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C20:4w6				1.41	0.36	0.30	0.26	0.16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C20:5@3				2.39	0.31	0.24	0.23	0.39
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	C20:109c				1.05	0.15	0.18	0.21	0.19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		CC2	22014	NOC2 1	Domth	ma fila	(ama)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rLrA (mol%)	GC2.	52D444	2802, 1	Jepin	prome	(cm)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(110170)	0-2	2-4	4-6	6-8	8-10	10-	12-	14 -
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							12	14	16
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C12:0	0.77	0.71	1.03	0.87	0.91	0.96	1.30	1.21
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>i</i> C14:0	1.06	1.65	2.38	2.99	2.92	2.11	2.07	1.91
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	brC13:0	0.18	0.36	0.74	1.00	1.06	0.68	0.58	0.64
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C14:0	3.59	3.66	4.96	5.89	5.97	5.53	5.48	5.32
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	brC14:0 a	0.26	0.56	0.89	1.15	1.31	1.04	0.94	0.85
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	brC14:0 b	0.25	0.60	1.08	1.50	1.70	1.52	1.44	1.57
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>i</i> C15:0	3.66	5.14	5.69	4.88	4.53	4.37	4.63	4.83
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aC15:0	7.54	13.17	18.10	22.03	22.85	19.02	18.50	17.40
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C15:0	1.09	1.36	2.07	2.15	2.16	1.98	2.06	2.10
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	brC15:0	0.78	1.37	2.25	2.98	3.51	3.20	3.18	3.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>i</i> C16:0	0.77	0.98	1.39	1.66	1.59	1.78	1.62	1.35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aC16:0	1.21	1.12	2.21	2.30	2.00	1.44	1.17	1.24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C16:1ω7c	20.59	16.46	5 10.42	2 6.61	6.42	7.67	8.23	7.73
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C16:1w7t	5.92	4.03	1.95	1.00	0.74	1.22	1.29	1.19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C16:1a	5.90	5.29	4.57	4.27	3.98	4.07	4.12	4.07
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	C16:0	9.98	8.45	6.69	5.83	5.58	7.15	6.98	7.39
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	10MeC16:0	1.91	2.29	2.46	2.53	2.24	1.70	2.05	2.04
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm cyC17:0\ a} & 0.58 & 0.70 & 0.82 & 0.90 & 1.24 & 1.25 & 1.19 & 1.22 \\ {\rm cyC17:0\ b} & 0.42 & 0.68 & 0.77 & 0.95 & 0.98 & 1.00 & 0.84 & 0.74 \\ {\rm C17:1} & 0.28 & 0.47 & 0.87 & 1.19 & 1.31 & 1.15 & 1.03 & 1.17 \\ {\rm C18:3}\omega_3 & 0.66 & 1.71 & 2.07 & 2.66 & 3.01 & 3.24 & 3.39 & 3.64 \\ {\rm C18:1}\omega_9{\rm c} & 2.76 & 2.10 & 1.26 & 0.90 & 0.76 & 0.90 & 0.91 & 1.18 \\ {\rm C18:1}\omega_7{\rm c} & 13.51 & 10.09 & 7.97 & 6.44 & 6.89 & 8.50 & 8.66 & 9.11 \\ {\rm C18:1}\omega_7{\rm t} & 1.30 & 0.90 & 0.44 & 0.31 & 0.25 & 0.28 & 0.40 & 0.35 \\ {\rm C18:0} & 1.39 & 1.45 & 1.05 & 0.97 & 0.89 & 1.13 & 1.21 & 1.30 \\ {\rm C20:5}\omega_3 & 1.01 & 0.20 & 0.19 & 0.10 & 0.15 & 0.10 & 0.15 & 0.14 \\ \end{array}$	aC17:0	1.22	1.36	1.44	1.60	1.50	1.72	1.78	1.84
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {}$	cyC17:0 a	0.58	0.70	0.82	0.90	1.24	1.25	1.19	1.22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	cyC17:0 b	0.42	0.68	0.77	0.95	0.98	1.00	0.84	0.74
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Č17:1	0.28	0.47	0.87	1.19	1.31	1.15	1.03	1.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:3ω3	0.66	1.71	2.07	2.66	3.01	3.24	3.39	3.64
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:1ω9c	2.76	2.10	1.26	0.90	0.76	0.90	0.91	1.18
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:107c	13.51	10.09	7.97	6.44	6.89	8.50	8.66	9.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$C18 \cdot 107t$	1 30	0.90	0.44	0.31	0.25	0.28	0.40	0.35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18·0	1 39	1 4 5	1.05	0.97	0.89	1 13	1.21	1 30
PLFA (mol%) $\frac{\text{GC234D4424C3, Depth profile (cm)}}{0-2  2-4  4-6  6-8  8-10  10-  12-  14- \\ 12  14  16  1.33  1.92  2.09  2.68  3.07  3.65  3.15  3.60 \\ \text{C14:0}  6.01  5.31  5.71  6.04  5.48  6.25  5.99  6.76  1.63  $	C20:5ω3	1.01	0.20	0.19	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.14
$\begin{array}{c} \text{(mol\%)} \\ \hline 0-2 & 2-4 & 4-6 & 6-8 & 8-10 & 10- & 12- & 14- \\ \hline 12 & 14 & 16 \\ \hline 1.33 & 1.92 & 2.09 & 2.68 & 3.07 & 3.65 & 3.15 & 3.60 \\ \text{C14:0} & 6.01 & 5.31 & 5.71 & 6.04 & 5.48 & 6.25 & 5.99 & 6.76 \\ \hline \end{array}$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rLFA (mol%)	GU2.	34D444	24C3, I	Jepin j	prome	(cm)		
12         14         16 <i>i</i> C14:0         1.33         1.92         2.09         2.68         3.07         3.65         3.15         3.60           C14:0         6.01         5.31         5.71         6.04         5.48         6.25         5.99         6.76	(1101/0)	0-2	2-4	4-6	6-8	8-10	10-	12-	14 -
iC14:0         1.33         1.92         2.09         2.68         3.07         3.65         3.15         3.60           C14:0         6.01         5.31         5.71         6.04         5.48         6.25         5.99         6.76							12	14	16
C14:0 6.01 5.31 5.71 6.04 5.48 6.25 5.99 6.76	<i>i</i> C14:0	1.33	1.92	2.09	2.68	3.07	3.65	3.15	3.60
	C14:0	6.01	5.31	5.71	6.04	5.48	6.25	5.99	6.76

Appendix A (continued)

PLFA	GC234D4424C3, Depth profile (cm)							
(mol%)	0 - 2	2-4	4–6	6-8	8-10	10-	12-	14-
	0 2	2 1	10	0 0	0 10	12	14	16
brC14:1	0.33	0.22	0.23	0.31	0.33	0.43	0.28	0.25
<i>i</i> C15:0	4.50	5.23	4.90	5.35	5.92	6.29	6.27	6.07
aC15:0	12.10	18.97	19.42	22.50	24.01	23.08	18.91	18.66
C15:0	1.28	1.47	1.62	2.01	1.98	2.07	1.89	1.92
brC15:0	0.87	1.61	1.72	2.44	4.30	5.53	5.52	5.36
<i>i</i> C16:0	0.86	1.30	1.38	1.27	1.47	1.83	2.45	2.61
aC16:0	1.31	1.74	1.83	2.05	2.37	1.78	1.17	0.80
C16:1w7c	16.87	10.58	8.86	6.38	4.75	4.44	4.79	4.89
C16:1w7t	3.32	2.78	2.65	1.41	0.76	0.78	0.86	0.91
C16:1a	5.66	6.43	6.46	5.21	3.78	3.19	3.08	2.86
C16:0	9.87	7.55	7.38	6.51	6.35	7.95	9.61	10.71
10MeC16.0	2.86	2.50	2.96	2.99	2.12	2.15	1.89	1 95
aC17:0	1.03	1.22	1.30	1.26	1.43	1.50	1.60	1.45
cvC17:0 h	0.42	0.67	0.84	1.56	2.04	1 55	1 71	2.10
C17·1	0.46	0.92	1.25	1.81	2.42	2.68	2.69	3 09
C18:3@3	1.07	1.92	1.92	2.40	3 20	2.94	2.61	2.98
C18:109c	1 74	1.26	1.09	0.93	0.96	0.73	1 36	1.69
C18:107c	11 13	8 38	8 44	7 22	5.92	4 68	4 75	4 24
C18:0	1 38	1 16	1 19	1.07	1.09	1.56	2.02	2.01
PI FA	GC2	34044	26C4 I	Denth 1	rofile	(cm)	2.02	2.01
(mol%)	002.	דד עדי.	2004, 1	opun j	prome	(em)		
(110170)	0-2	4–6	6 - 8	8-10	0 10-	12-	14-1	6 14–
					12	14		16
C12:0	0.49	0.56	0.49	0.56	0.44	0.85	0.69	1.32
<i>i</i> C14:0	0.99	1.91	1.33	2.01	2.34	2.37	2.20	4.33
brC13:0	0.13	0.40	0.25	0.41	0.56	0.60	0.45	0.36
C14:0	4.02	4.18	4.02	4.37	4.82	4.64	5.09	5.60
<i>i</i> C15:0	3.63	4.47	3.98	4.60	5.72	6.34	6.13	7.93
aC15:0	8.02	22.96	23.57	24.44	23.30	22.93	17.55	17.80
C15:0	0.88	1.31	1.24	1.38	1.85	1.67	1.98	1.62
brC15:0	0.56	1.53	1.18	2.21	3.65	3.22	2.47	3.25
<i>i</i> C16:0	0.72	1.44	1.15	1.47	1.79	1.98	1.85	2.60
aC16:0	0.80	1.65	1.22	1.86	2.35	2.16	1.70	0.91
C16:1w7c	23.20	10.90	10.48	8.00	5.83	5.27	6.71	4.48
C16:1w7t	5.04	2.38	2.57	2.28	1.43	1.06	0.93	0.47
C16:1a	5.20	5.38	6.67	6.30	4.80	4.99	5.24	3.73
C16:0	11.75	7.27	7.61	6.85	6.65	6.68	7.84	7.45
10MeC16:0	1.64	1.95	1.45	2.04	2.19	1.87	1.95	2.62
aC17:0	1.08	1.47	1.34	1.39	1.46	1.52	1.49	1.35
cvC17:0 a	0.59	0.73	0.88	0.86	0.83	0.98	1.62	1.05
cyC17:0 b	0.35	0.47	0.38	0.66	0.97	1.31	1.72	1.36
C17:1	0.24	0.66	0.62	1.18	1.55	1.78	2.19	3.62
C18:3@3	0.34	1.29	1.52	2.58	3.05	2.85	2.71	2.63
C18:1w9c	2.07	1.63	1.54	1.16	1.00	0.93	1.46	0.74
C18:107c	13.80	9,12	10.26	7.97	6.82	7.04	9,93	7.07
C18:0	1.49	1.17	1.33	1.06	1.00	1.14	1.15	1.28
C18:0	1.49	1.17	1.33	1.06	1.00	1.14	1.15	1.28

#### References

- Bligh, E.G., Dyer, W.M., 1959. A rapid method of lipid extraction and purification. Can. J. Biochem. Physiol. 35, 911–917.
- Boetius, A., Ravenschlag, K., Schubert, C.J., Rickert, D., Widdel, F., Gieseke, A., Amann, R., Jørgensen, B.B., Witte, U., Pfannkuche, O., 2000. A marine microbial consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. Nature 407, 623–626.

- Collins, M.D., Jones, D., 1981. Distribution of isoprenoid quinone structural types in bacteria and their taxonomic implications. Microbiol. Rev. 45, 316–354.
- DeLong, E.F., Yayanos, A.A., 1985. Adaptation of the membrane lipids of a deep-sea bacterium to changes in hydrostatic pressure. Science 228, 1101–1103.
- Dowling, N.J.E., Widdel, F., White, D.C., 1986. Phospholipid esterlinked fatty acid biomarkers of acetate-oxidizing sulphate-reducers and other sulphide-forming bacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 132, 1815–1825.
- Dzierzewicz, Z., Cwalina, B., Kurkiewicz, S., Chodurek, E., Wilczok, T., 1996. Intraspecies variability of cellular fatty acids among soil and intestinal strains of *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 3360–3365.
- Elvert, M., Boetius, A., Knittel, K., Jorgensen, B.B., 2003. Characterization of specific membrane fatty acids as chemotaxonomic markers for sulfate-reducing bacteria involved in anaerobic oxidation of methane. Geomicrobiol. J. 20, 403–419.
- Fang, J., Abrajano, T.A., Comet, P.A., Brooks, J.M., Sassen, R., MacDonald, I.R., 1993. Gulf of Mexico hydrocarbon seep communities XI. Carbon isotope fractionation during fatty acid biosynthesis of seep organisms and its implication for chemosynthetic processes. Chem. Geol. 109, 271–279.
- Fang, J., Kato, C., Sato, T., Chan, O., McKay, D., 2004. Biosynthesis and dietary uptake of polyunsaturated fatty acids by peizophilic bacteria. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., B 137, 455–461.
- Fang, J., Uhle, M., Billmark, K., Bartlett, D.H., Kato, C., 2006. Fractionation of carbon isotopes in biosynthesis of fatty acids by a piezophilic bacterium *Moritella japonica* strain DSK1. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 70, 1753–1760.
- Feio, M.J., Beech, I.B., Carepo, M., Lopes, J.M., Cheung, C.W.S., Franco, R., Guezennec, J., Smith, J.R., Mitchell, J.I., Moura, J.J.G., Lino, A.R., 1998. Isolation and characterisation of a novel sulphate-reducing bacterium of the *Desulfovibrio* Genus. Anaerobe 4, 117–130.
- Findlay, R.H., Trexler, M.B., Guckert, J.B., White, D.C., 1990. Laboratory study of disturbance in marine sediments: response of a microbial community. Mar. Ecol., Prog. Ser. 62, 121–133.
- Geyer, R., Peacock, A.D., White, D.C., Lytle, C., Van Berkel, G.J., 2004. Atmospheric pressure chemical ionization and atmospheric pressure photoionization for simultaneous mass spectrometric analysis of microbial respiratory ubiquinones and menaquinones. J. Mass Spectrom. 39, 922–929.
- Guckert, J.B., Antworth, C.P., Nichols, P.D., White, D.C., 1985. Phospholipid, ester-linked fatty acid profiles as reproducible assays for changes in prokaryotic community structure of estuarine sediments. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 31, 147–158.
- Guckert, J.B., Hood, M.A., White, D.C., 1986. Phospholipid esterlinked fatty acid profile changes during nutrient deprivation of *Vibrio cholerae*: increase in the *trans/cis* ratio and proportions of cyclcopropyl fatty acids. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 52, 794–801.
- Guckert, J.B., Ringelberg, D.B., White, D.C., Hanson, R.S., Bratina, B.J., 1991. Membrane fatty acids as phenotypic markers in the polyphasic taxonomy of methylotrophs within the Proteobacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 137, 2631–2641.
- Guezennec, J., Fiala-Medioni, A., 1996. Bacterial abundance and diversity in the Barbados Trench determined by phospholipids analysis. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 19, 83–93.
- Hedrick, D.B., White, D.C., 1986. Microbial respiratory quinones in the environment. I. A sensitive liquid chromatographic method. J. Microbiol. Methods 5, 243–254.
- Hiraishi, A., 1999. Isoprenoid quinones as biomarkers of microbial populations in the environment. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 83, 449–460.

- Jacq, E., Prieur, D., Nichols, P., White, D.C., Porter, T., Geesey, G.G., 1989. Microscopic examination and fatty acid characterization of filamentous bacteria colonizing substrate around subtidal hydrothermal vents. Arch. Microbiol. 152, 64–71.
- Jannasch, H.W., Nelson, D.C., Wirsen, C.O., 1989. Massive natural occurrence of unusually large bacteria (*Beggiatoa* sp.) at a hydrothermal deep-sea vent site. Nature 342, 834–836.
- Jeffrey, G.A., McMullan, R.K., 1967. The clathrate hydrates. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 8, 43–108.
- Jiang, G.Q., Shi, X.Y., Zhang, S.H., 2006. Methane seeps, methane hydrate destabilization, and the late Neoproterozoic postglacial cap carbonates. Chin. Sci. Bull. 51, 1152–1173.
- Kamimura, K., Fuse, H., Takimura, O., Yamaoka, Y., 1993. Effects of growth pressure and temperature on fatty acid composition of a barotolerant deep-sea Bacterium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59, 924–926.
- Kaneda, T., 1991. Iso- and anteiso-fatty acids in bacteria: biosynthesis, function, and taxonomic significance. Microbiol. Rev. 55, 288–302.
- Kieft, T.L., Ringelberg, D.B., White, D.C., 1994. Changes in esterlinked phospholipids fatty acid profiles of subsurface bacteria during starvation and desiccation in a porous medium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60, 3292–3299.
- Koga, Y., Akagawa-Watsushita, M., Ohga, M., Nishihara, M., 1993. Taxonomic significance of the distribution of component parts of polar ether lipids in methanogens. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 16, 342–351.
- Kuever, J., Könneke, M., Galuschko, A., Drzyzga, O., 2001. Reclassification of *Desulfobacterium phenolicum* as '*Desulfobacula phenolica*', comb. nov. and description of strain Sax as *Desulfotigmum balticum* gen. nov., sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51, 171–177.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., 1988a. Methane hydrate a major reservoir of carbon in the shallow Geosphere? Chem. Geol. 71, 41–51.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., 1988b. Methane hydrates and global climate change. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 2, 221–229.
- Larkin, J.M., Henk, M.C., 1996. Filamentous sulfide-oxidizing bacteria at hydrocarbon seeps of the Gulf of Mexico. Microsc. Res. Tech. 33, 23–31.
- Larkin, J., Aharon, P., Henk, M.C., 1994. *Beggiatoa* in microbial mats at hydrocarbon vents in the Gulf of Mexico and Warm Mineral Springs, Florida. Geo-Mar. Lett. 14, 97–103.
- Matsui, G.Y., Ringelberg, D.B., Hovell, C.R., 2004. Sulfate-reducing bacteria in tubes constructed by the marine infaunal polychaete *Diopatra cuprea*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 7053–7065.
- McCaffrey, M.A., Farrington, J.W., Repeta, D.J., 1989. Geochemical implications of the lipid composition of *Thioploca* spp. from the Peru upwelling regions-15°S. Org. Geochem. 14, 61–68.
- Milkov, A.V., Sassen, R., 2002. Economic geology of offshore gas hydrate accumulations and provinces. Mar. Pet. Geol. 19, 1–11.
- Nelson, D.C., Jannasch, H.W., 1983. Chemoautotrophic growth of a marine *Beggiatoa* in sulfide-gradient cultures. Arch. Microbiol. 136, 262–269.
- Niemann, H., Elvert, M., Hovland, M., Orcutt, B., Judd, A., Suck, I., Gutt, J., Joye, S., Damm, E., Finster, K., Boetius, A., 2005. Methane emission and consumption at a north see seep (Tommeliten area). Biogeoscience 2, 335–351.
- Orcutt, B., Boetius, A., Elvert, M., Samarkin, V., Joye, S.B., 2005. Molecular biogeochemistry of sulfate reduction, methanogenesis and the anaerobic oxidation of methane at Gulf of Mexico cold seeps. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 4267–4281.
- Orphan, V.J., Hinrichs, K.-U., Ussler III, W., Paull, C.K., Taylor, L.T., Sylva, S.P., Hayes, J.M., DeLong, E.F., 2001. Comparative

analysis of methane-oxidizing archaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria in anoxic marine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 1234–1922.

- Parkes, R.J., Taylor, J., 1983. The relationship between fatty acid distributions and bacterial respiratory types in contemporary marine sediments. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 16, 173–189.
- Pennanen, T., Frostegard, A., Fritze, H., Baath, E., 1996. Phospholipid fatty acid composition and heavy metal tolerance of soil microbial community along two heavy metal-polluted gradients in coniferous forests. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 420–428.
- Ringelberg, D.B., Sutton, S., White, D.C., 1997. Biomass, bioactivity and biodiversity: microbial ecology of the deep subsurface: analysis of ester-linked phospholipids fatty acids. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 20, 371–377.
- Sassen, R., Roberts, H.H., Aharon, P., Larkin, J., Chinn, E.W., Carney, R., 1993. Chemosynthetic bacterial mats at cold hydrocarbon seeps. Gulf of Mexico continental slope. Org. Geochem. 20, 77–89.
- Schouten, S., Wakeham, S.G., Sinninghe-Damste, J.S., 2001. Evidence for anaerobic methane oxidization by archaea in euxinic waters of the Black Sea. Org. Geochem. 32, 1277–1281.
- Smoot, J.C., Findlay, R.H., 2001. Spatial and seasonal variation in a reservoir sedimentary microbial community as determined by phospholipids analysis. Microb. Ecol. 42, 350–358.
- Taylor, J., Parkes, J., 1983. The cellular fatty acids of the sulfatereducing bacteria, *Desulfobacter* sp., *Desulfobulbus* sp. and *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 129, 3303–3309.
- Vainshtein, M., Hippe, H., Kroppenstedt, R.M., 1992. Cellular fatty acid composition of *Desulfovibrio* species and its use in classification of sulfate-reducing bacteria. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 15, 554–566.
- Volkman, J.K., Johns, R.B., Gillan, F.T., Perry, G.J., 1980. Microbial lipids of an intertidal sediment-I. Fatty acids and hydrocarbons. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 44, 1133–1143.
- Wenzhöfer, F., Adler, M., Kohls, O., Hensen, C., Strotmann, B., Boehme, S., Schultz, H.D., 2001. Calcite dissolution driven by benthic mineralization in the deep-sea: in situ measurements of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, pH, pCO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 2677–2690.
- White, D.C., Davis, W.M., Nickels, J.S., King, J.D., Bobbie, R.J., 1979. Determination of the sedimentary microbial biomass by extractable lipid phosphate. Oecologia 40, 51–62.
- White, D.C., Stair, J.O., Ringelberg, D.B., 1996. Quantitative comparisons of in situ microbial biodiversity by signature biomarker analysis. J. Ind. Microbiol. 17, 185–196.
- Yano, Y., Nakayama, A., Ishihara, K., Saito, H., 1998. Adaptive changes of membrane lipids of barophilic bacteria in response to changes in growth pressure. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 479–485.
- Zhang, C.L., Li, Y.L., Wall, J.D., Larsen, L., Sassen, R., Huang, Y., Wang, Y., White, D.C., Peacock, A., Horita, J., Cole, D.R., 2002. Lipid and carbon isotopic evidence of methane-oxidizing and sulfate-reducing bacteria in association with gas hydrates from the Gulf of Mexico. Geology 30, 239–242.
- Zhang, C.L., Huang, Z.Y., Cantu, J., Pancost, R.D., Brigmon, R.L., Lyons, T.W., Sassen, R., 2005. Lipid biomarkers and carbon isotope signatures of a microbial (*Beggiatoa*) mat associated with gas hydrates in the Gulf of Mexico. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 2106–2112.